

Decidability in Ramsey theory

Seminarium Zakładu Matematyki Dyskretnej
Uniwersytet Im. Adama Mickiewicza w Poznaniu

Based on joint work with Steve Jackson and William Mance

<https://arxiv.org/abs/2412.14917>

Sohail Farhangi
Slides available on sohailfarhangi.com

October 15, 2024

- 1 partition Ramsey Theory
- 2 Decidability and logic
- 3 Density Ramsey Theory
- 4 References

Partition regularity

Definition

Let R be an integral domain, let $S \subseteq R$, let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p_1, \dots, p_m : R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be arbitrary. The system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} p_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= 0 \\ &\vdots \\ p_m(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

is **ℓ -partition regular (p.r.) over S** if for any partition $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} C_i$, there is some $1 \leq i_0 \leq \ell$ for which C_{i_0} contains a solution to the system of equations in (1). The system of equations is **partition regular** if it is ℓ -partition regular for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

Positive results 1/2

The following systems of equations **are** partition regular over \mathbb{N} .

1) $x + y = z$, Schur 1916 [23]

2) van der Waerden 1927 [26] (arithmetic progressions or A.P.s)

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 - x_2 &= x_2 - x_3 \\ &\vdots \\ x_{n-2} - x_{n-1} &= x_{n-1} - x_n, \text{ or equivalently,}\end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} (x_{i+2} - 2x_{i+1} + x_i)^2 = 0.$$

3) Brauer 1928 [5] (A.P.s and their common difference)

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 - x_2 &= x_0 \\ &\vdots \\ x_{n-1} - x_n &= x_0\end{aligned}$$

4) Rado 1933 [21] classified which finite systems of linear equations are p.r.

5) $x - y = p(z)$ with $p(z) \in z\mathbb{Z}[z]$, Bergelson 1996 [1, page 53]

6) Bergelson, Moreira, and Johnson 2017 [3], for $p_i(x) \in x\mathbb{Z}[x]$

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 - x_2 &= p_1(x_0) \\ &\vdots \\ x_{n-1} - x_n &= p_{n-1}(x_0)\end{aligned}$$

7) $x^2 - y^2 = z$, Moreira 2017 [18]

8) $z = x^y$, Sahasrabudhe 2018 [22]

Negative results

The following systems of equations **are not** partition regular over \mathbb{N} .

1) $2x + 3y = z$, Rado 1933 [21]

2) Rado 1933 [21]

$$x + 3y = z_1$$

$$x + 2y = 2z_2$$

3) $x + y = z^2$ (ignoring $2 + 2 = 2^2$), Csikvári, Gyarmati, and Sárközy 2012 [8] (see also [15])

4) $x - 2y = z^2$, Di Nasso and Luperi Baglini 2018 [11]

5) $x^2 - 2y^2 = z$, Di Nasso and Luperi Baglini 2018 [11]

6) $x + y = w^3 z^2$, F. and Magner 2022 [12]

7) $2x + 3y = wz^2$, F. and Magner 2022 [12]

8) F. and Magner 2022 [12]

$$x_1 + 17y_1 = w_1 z_1^{100}$$

$$9x_2 + 18y_2 = w_2 z_2^2$$

Open problems

The partition regularity of the following systems of equations over \mathbb{N} is **not known**.

- 1) $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ (**VERY** popular)
- 2) $a(x^2 - y^2) = bz^2 + dw$ (important, cf. [20])
- 3) $x^3 + y^3 + z^3 = w^3$ (cf. [7])
- 4) $x^3 + y^3 + z^3 - 3xyz = w^3$
- 5) $x^4 + y^4 + z^4 = w^4$ (cf. [7])
- 6) (**VERY** popular, cf. [18])

$$\begin{aligned}w &= xy \\ z &= x + y\end{aligned}$$

- 7) $2x - 8y = wz^3$ (cf. [12])
- 8) (cf. [12])

$$\begin{aligned}16x_1 + 17y_1 &= w_1z_1^8 \\ 33x_2 - 17y_2 &= w_2z_2^8\end{aligned}$$

First main result (a special case)

Theorem (F., Jackson, Mance, 2024+)

- 1 *Let us assume that Hilbert's 10th problem over \mathbb{Q} is undecidable. There is no computable condition (computer program) to determine whether or not a given polynomial equation is partition regular over \mathbb{N} .*
- 2 *Suppose that R is the ring of integers of an algebraic function field over a finite field of constants. Then there is no computable condition to determine whether or not a given polynomial equation is partition regular over $R \setminus \{0\}$.*

What is computability and decidability?

Suppose that someone asks you whether or not the equations $x^2 - 5x + 6 = 0$ has a root in \mathbb{Z} . We can enumerate the elements of \mathbb{Z} , and plug them into the equation one by one until we see that 2 and 3 yield solutions. However, if someone asks you (or maybe a non-mathematician) whether or not the equation $x^2 - 5x + 7 = 0$ has a root in \mathbb{Z} , then the previous method will not work, because it will never terminate. Generally speaking, it is not possible to determine whether or not there exists an element in an infinite set that satisfies a specific property. We can only create a finite/**computable** procedure to solve such questions in the special cases that the question can be simplified (in a logical sense). In the previous example, the simplification is the quadratic formula. This is a simplification since it lets us avoid checking every member of an infinite set. A problem is **decidable** if there is a computable procedure to solve it.

Hilbert's 10th problem (HTP)

Theorem (Matiyasevič, 1971)

There does not exist a computable procedure for determining whether or not a given polynomial $p \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ has a root in \mathbb{Z} .

This provides a negative answer to the 10th of the 23 problems of David Hilbert from the 1900 International Congress of Math. See [9] for an exposition of the proof of this result, as well as a discussion of the history.

Open Problem: Does there exist a computable procedure for determining whether or not a given polynomial $p \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ has a root in \mathbb{Q} ?

The latter problem is referred to as Hilbert's 10th problem over \mathbb{Q} . It is generally believed that there does not exist such a computable procedure.

Variations of Hilbert's 10th problem

Given a **computable** integral domain R , we let $HTP(R)$ refer to the following statement:

HTP(R): There does not exist a computable procedure to determine if a given $p \in R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ has a root in R .

The statement $HTP(R)$ can be true, or false depending on the integral domain R .

Theorem ([27, 19, 10])

Suppose that $R = \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ for some prime p , $R = \mathbb{Z}$, or that $R = R'[t]$ for some integral domain R' .

- (i) *$HTP(R)$ is true.*
- (ii) *There does not exist a computable procedure for determining whether or not a given polynomial $p \in R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ has an integer root $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in R^n$ with $z_i \neq z_j$ when $i \neq j$.*

Reducing partition regularity to HTP

Lemma (cf. Krawczyk, Byszewski, 2021 [6])

Let R be an integral domain with field of fractions K . For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $k_1, \dots, k_m \in K$, the system of equations

$$\frac{z_{3i-2} - z_{3i-1}}{z_{3i}} = k_i \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq m, \quad (2)$$

is partition regular over $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

Corollary

Given an integral domain R , and a polynomial $p \in R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, p has a root in K if and only if the equation $p'(x_1, \dots, x_{3n}) = 0$ with

$$p'(x_1, \dots, x_{3n}) := p \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_3}, \dots, \frac{x_{3n-2} - x_{3n-1}}{x_{3n}} \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_{3i} \right)^{\deg(p)}$$

is partition regular over $R \setminus \{0\}$.

Density Ramsey Theory: What is density? 1/2

For $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ we denote the **natural upper density** of A by

$$\bar{d}(A) = \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|A \cap [1, N]|}{N}. \quad (3)$$

In a countable cancellative commutative semigroup $(S, +)$, a **Følner sequence** $\mathcal{F} = (F_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of finite sets s.t.

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|(s + F_N) \Delta F_N|}{|F_N|} = 0, \text{ for all } s \in S. \quad (4)$$

Given a Følner sequence \mathcal{F} and a set $A \subseteq S$, the **upper density with respect to \mathcal{F}** is given by

$$\bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(A) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|A \cap F_N|}{|F_N|}. \quad (5)$$

The **upper Banach density** of $A \subseteq S$ is given by

$$d^*(A) = \sup \{ \bar{d}_{\mathcal{F}}(A) \mid \mathcal{F} \text{ is a Følner sequence} \}. \quad (6)$$

Density Ramsey Theory: What is density? 2/2

When $(S, +) = (\mathbb{N}, \cdot)$ and p_n denotes the n^{th} prime, an example of a Følner sequence $\mathcal{F} = (F_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is given by

$$F_n = \{p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_n^{a_n} \mid 0 \leq a_i \leq n \forall 1 \leq i \leq n\} \quad (7)$$

The following alternative characterization of upper Banach density was introduced in [16] for the case of $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$, then in more generality in [17] and [2]. We only state a special case here.

Theorem

Let $(S, +)$ be a cancellative commutative semigroup. For $A \subseteq S$,

$$d^*(A) = \sup \left\{ \alpha \geq 0 \mid \forall F \in \mathcal{P}_f(S) \exists s \in S \right. \\ \left. \text{s.t. } |(F + s) \cap A| \geq \alpha |F| \right\}$$

When R is a countable integral domain, we let d^* denote the upper Banach density in $(R, +)$, and d_{\times}^* the upper Banach density in $(R \setminus \{0\}, \cdot)$.

Szemerédi Theorems

Theorem (Szemerédi's Theorem [24])

If $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ satisfies $\bar{d}(A) > 0$ (or $d^(A) > 0$), then A contains arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions.*

Theorem (Furstenberg, Katznelson [14])

If $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$ satisfies $d^(A) > 0$, then A contains arbitrarily large d -dimensional cubes.*

Theorem (Bergelson, Leibman [4])

If $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$ satisfies $d^(A) > 0$, and $p_1, \dots, p_m : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^d$ are polynomial functions with no constant term, then there exists $a, d \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$ for which $\{a + p_i(d)\}_{i=1}^m \subseteq A$.*

See also [25, Corollary 1.6] and [13, Corollary 2.12].

Second main result (a special case)

Theorem (F., Jackson, Mance, 2024+)

- 1 *Let us assume that Hilbert's 10th problem over \mathbb{Q} is undecidable. There is no computable procedure (computer program) to determine whether or not a given polynomial equation has a solution in every set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $\overline{d}(A) > 0$. A similar result holds when $\overline{d}(A) > 0$ is replaced by $d^*(A) > 0$, or by $d_{\times}^*(A) > 0$.*
- 2 *Suppose that R is the ring of integers of an algebraic function field over a finite field of constants. Then there is no computable procedure to determine whether or not a given polynomial equation has a solution in every $A \subseteq R$ with $d^*(A) > 0$. A similar result holds when $d^*(A) > 0$ is replaced by $d_{\times}^*(A) > 0$.*

Reduction to HTP for density Ramsey theory 1/2

Lemma

Let R be a countably infinite integral domain with field of fractions K . For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $k_1, \dots, k_m \in K^\times$ we have the following:

- (i) If $A \subseteq R$ is such that $d^*(A) > 0$, then A contains a solution to the system of equations

$$\frac{z_{4i-3} - z_{4i-2}}{z_{4i-1} - z_{4i}} = k_i \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq m. \quad (8)$$

Furthermore, the solution can be taken such that $z_i \neq z_j$ when $i \neq j$.

- (ii) If $A \subseteq R \setminus \{0\}$ is such that $d_\times^*(A) > 0$, then A contains a solution (z_1, \dots, z_{4m}) to the system (8), such that $z_i \neq z_j$ for $i \neq j$.

Reduction to HTP for density Ramsey theory 2/2

Corollary

Let R be a countably infinite integral domain with field of fractions K , and let $p \in R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

- (i) p has a root in K if and only if for any $A \subseteq R$ with $d^*(A) > 0$, there exist distinct $z_1, \dots, z_{4n} \in A$ for which $p'(z_1, \dots, z_{4n}) = 0$, where

$$p'(z_1, \dots, z_{4n}) = p \left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_3 - z_4}, \dots, \frac{z_{4n-3} - z_{4n-2}}{z_{4n-1} - z_{4n}} \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^n (z_{4n-1} - z_{4n}) \right)^{\deg(p)}.$$

- (ii) p has a root in K if and only if for any $A \subseteq R \setminus \{0\}$ with $d_x^*(A) > 0$, there exist distinct $z_1, \dots, z_{4n} \in A$ for which $p'(z_1, \dots, z_{4n}) = 0$.

Future work

Question

Can we prove a version of the corollary on the last slide without the assumption that $z_1, \dots, z_{4n} \in A$ are distinct?

Question

Given a $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and a finite system of linear equations, is there a computable condition to determine whether or not the system is ℓ -partition regular over \mathbb{Z} (or over some integral domain R)?

Question

Given a $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and a finite system of linear equations, is there a computable condition to determine whether or not the system has a solution in every set $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ with $d^(A) > \delta$? How about $d_{\times}^*(A) > \delta$? What if we replace \mathbb{Z} with an integral domain R ?*

- [1] V. Bergelson.
Ergodic Ramsey theory—an update.
In *Ergodic theory of \mathbf{Z}^d actions (Warwick, 1993–1994)*,
volume 228 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages
1–61. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1996.

- [2] V. Bergelson and D. Glasscock.
On the interplay between additive and multiplicative largeness
and its combinatorial applications.
J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 172:105203, 60, 2020.

- [3] V. Bergelson, J. H. Johnson, Jr., and J. Moreira.
New polynomial and multidimensional extensions of classical
partition results.
J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 147:119–154, 2017.

- [4] V. Bergelson and A. Leibman.
Polynomial extensions of van der Waerden's and Szemerédi's theorems.
J. Amer. Math. Soc., 9(3):725–753, 1996.
- [5] R. Brauer.
Untersuchungen über die arithmetischen Eigenschaften von Gruppen linearer Substitutionen.
Math. Z., 28(1):677–696, 1928.
- [6] J. Byszewski and E. Krawczyk.
Rado's theorem for rings and modules.
J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 180:105402, 28, 2021.

- [7] S. Chow, S. Lindqvist, and S. Prendiville.
Rado's criterion over squares and higher powers.
J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS), 23(6):1925–1997, 2021.
- [8] P. Csikvári, K. Gyarmati, and A. Sárközy.
Density and Ramsey type results on algebraic equations with
restricted solution sets.
Combinatorica, 32(4):425–449, 2012.
- [9] M. Davis.
Hilbert's tenth problem is unsolvable.
Amer. Math. Monthly, 80:233–269, 1973.

- [10] J. Denef.
The Diophantine problem for polynomial rings and fields of rational functions.
Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 242:391–399, 1978.
- [11] M. Di Nasso and L. Luperi Baglini.
Ramsey properties of nonlinear Diophantine equations.
Adv. Math., 324:84–117, 2018.
- [12] S. Farhangi and R. Magner.
On the partition regularity of $ax + by = cw^mz^n$.
Integers, 23:Paper No. A18, 52, 2023.

- [13] N. Frantzikinakis and B. Kuca.
Joint ergodicity for commuting transformations and applications to polynomial sequences.
arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.12288, 2022.
- [14] H. Furstenberg and Y. Katznelson.
An ergodic Szemerédi theorem for commuting transformations.
J. Analyse Math., 34:275–291 (1979), 1978.
- [15] B. J. Green and S. Lindqvist.
Monochromatic solutions to $x + y = z^2$.
Canad. J. Math., 71(3):579–605, 2019.

- [16] J. T. Griesmer.
Recurrence, rigidity, and popular differences.
Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 39(5):1299–1316, 2019.
- [17] J. H. Johnson and F. K. Richter.
Revisiting the nilpotent polynomial hales-jewett theorem.
arXiv preprint arXiv:1607.05320v1, 2016.
- [18] J. Moreira.
Monochromatic sums and products in \mathbb{N} .
Ann. of Math. (2), 185(3):1069–1090, 2017.
- [19] T. Pheidas.
Hilbert's tenth problem for fields of rational functions over finite fields.
Invent. Math., 103(1):1–8, 1991.

- [20] S. Prendiville.
Counting monochromatic solutions to diagonal diophantine equations.
Discrete Anal., pages Paper No. 14, 47, 2021.
- [21] R. Rado.
Studien zur Kombinatorik.
Math. Z., 36(1):424–470, 1933.
- [22] J. Sahasrabudhe.
Exponential patterns in arithmetic Ramsey theory.
Acta Arith., 182(1):13–42, 2018.
- [23] I. Schur.
Über die kongruenz $x^m + y^m = z^m \pmod{p}$.
Jahresber. Dtsch. Math., 25:114–117, 1916.

- [24] E. Szemerédi.
On sets of integers containing no k elements in arithmetic progression.
Acta Arith., 27:199–245, 1975.
- [25] K. Tsinas.
Joint ergodicity of Hardy field sequences.
Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 376(5):3191–3263, 2023.
- [26] B. van der Waerden.
Beweis einer boudetschen vermutung.
Nieuw Arch. Wiskd, 15:212–216, 1927.

[27] C. R. Videla.

Hilbert's tenth problem for rational function fields in characteristic 2.

Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 120(1):249–253, 1994.